

CANDIA PLANNING BOARD
MINUTES of November 15, 2017
UNAPPROVED
Public Hearing

Present: Al Hall III, Vice Chair; Judi Lindsey; Rudy Cartier; Carleton Robie, BOS Representative; Joyce Bedard; Ken Kustra.

Dennis Lewis, Road Agent; Dave Murray, Building Inspector; Dean Young, Fire Chief; Mike McGillen, Police Chief.

Absent: Tom Giffen, Chair; Mike Santa, Alt.

Location of the meeting was in the Henry Moore School Gymnasium. Chair Tom Giffen has recused himself from the 17-012 case and will not be attending any of the proceedings related to it. Moderator, Clark Thyng called the meeting to order at 7:10 pm immediately followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Moderator: Clark Thyng

C. Thyng stated good evening and welcome to tonight's Planning Board meeting to discuss the Preliminary Major Subdivision Application for Crowley Woods-Tanglewood-Chester Subdivision. My name is Clark Thyng. I'm the Town and School District moderator for Candia and I'll be your moderator this evening. First the Planning Board will vote to approve minutes from November 1st, 2017. They will then review other business as it may come before the Board. Once completed, the Board will then review case 17-012 Preliminary Major Subdivision Application to determine if the submitted application is complete according to Candia's Major Subdivision Regulations. Once the Planning Board has had a chance to review, they will vote as to whether or not the application is complete or incomplete. The applicant, developer will lay out his presentation. The Board will have an opportunity to ask questions, no deliberation, just asking questions. Any additional input regarding the review of the application from the Board and Stantec to determine the completeness of the application in order to vote on it. If it is voted incomplete, the applicant will be noted in writing the reasons why and be advised of the next steps and the meeting will be continued. If voted complete, the Board will begin the formal consideration process and there will be public comments at that time. Vice Chair Hall.

Minutes November 1, 2017:

R. Cartier made a **motion** to accept the minutes of November 1, 2017 as presented. J. Lindsey **seconded**. C. Robie; K. Kustra; J. Bedard and A. Hall **were in favor. Motion carried (6-0-0)**.

Other Business:

Land Use Renewal - Scott Komisarek:

S. Komisarek said some of you are probably aware of this ongoing situation and some of you probably aren't. The last four years I've come in for a continuation of the approvals due to the...it's really a catch a 22 with the bonding issue and I think Judi you remember. Here we are back, it will be December before we know it and I'm back to address this. I'm looking for the same two year extension. I will note that the market is very much improved and I am hopeful that next year we will be moving forward. R. Cartier asked Scott, which property is this? S. Komisarek replied Map 406 Lot 16 on High Street. I have the one from 2015 and the one from 2013; if you look under the decision. It really comes back to the bonding issue and so we needed to keep these approvals in place even though they really aren't relevant at this point. C. Robie commented so this is the same type of development and the same plans that were approved? S. Komisarek replied no, actually everything's expired, all the Alteration of Terrain so I'll

probably have to re-engineer the road. C. Robie commented so the land use renewal is only for the reclamation plan, is that correct? S. Komisarek said no, actually the approvals for that have never technically expired and when we were going to let them lapse we ran into this issue again with the bonding so we need the approvals in place to keep the bonding so what the Board did was give me a two year extension. Came back again in two years and they gave me another two year extension. So here I am again we're in the same exact situation so I'm asking for the same extension. A. Hall said and the bond is still in place. S. Komisarek confirmed, yes the bond is in place now. C. Robie said for the reclamation, not for the construction of the road. S. Komisarek replied oh for the bond itself, yes, correct. C. Robie replied very good. That's acceptable. A. Hall asked for a motion.

MOTION:

C. Robie **motioned** to grant Mr. Komisarek his land use renewal for a two year period. K. Kustra **seconded**. **All were in favor. Motion carried (6-0-0).**

17-012 Preliminary Major Subdivision Application: Applicant: Eric Mitchell and Associates, Inc., PO Box 10298, Bedford, NH 03110; Owner: DAR Builders, LLC, 305 Massabesic Street, Manchester, NH 03103; Property location: Crowley Road, Candia NH 03034; Map 414 Lot 152 & 152-10 Intent: To create a right of way to a 60 lot subdivision in Chester (lot 152-10; 1.03 acres) and leave a 3 acre buildable lot in Candia; (lot 152; 4.13 acres). The roadways in Candia will be deeded to the Town of Chester.

Present: Applicant Eric Mitchell of Mitchell and Associates; Andy Sullivan, legal counsel for DAR Builders; Reggie Moreau of DAR Builders, LLC; Michael Courtney of Upton-Hatfield, legal counsel for the Town of Candia; Bryan Ruoff of Stantec; Sylvia von Aulock from SNHPC; Scott Komisarek; see full list of abutters, residents and audience members who signed in at the end of these minutes.

E. Mitchell introduced himself. My name is Eric Mitchell. My office prepared these plans for DAR Builders. Reggie Moreau is principal in DAR and is here tonight as well as his attorney, Andrew Sullivan. We submitted the application back in...the discussion back in May. We came in with a minor subdivision in June of this year and because it was considered to be regional impact, it was not considered to be minor and we had to come back with a major. What we've done on the project since June is we've been working with the Town of Chester and as indicated on the application the project does have 60 single family house lots in Chester with road access off of Crowley Road in two spots. The application also has one single lot in Candia. So we are here before you tonight in short summary; we have a one lot subdivision in Candia and two access points going out to a 60 lot subdivision in Chester. Since June we've been before the Planning Board on several occasions in Chester. The project has been reviewed by New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services as well as the review consultant for the Town, and we have gotten a letter of confirmation that the project is designed the way it needed to be. We also got a traffic study done because we knew that Candia wanted to see that, Chester did too. The traffic study was done and it was submitted to Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission as well as, when we submitted the application it was given to the Town of Candia. What we wanted to do is make sure we understood the engineering aspects that were necessary in Chester before we came back to Candia. We are close to completion in Chester. One of the big factors is what happens at the hearings as we go through Candia. We have scheduled meetings that have been on nights in Chester where Candia could come to the meetings and this meeting in Candia is set for a night where people in Chester could come. So we notified all the abutters both in Candia and in Chester and also the Regional Planning Commission (*SNHPC*) was notified of the meeting. The Boards themselves specifically were also notified. The last time we were before the Chester Planning Board was October 17th of this year. We are scheduled to be back on the agenda for November 29th, 2017. The application that we have before you here is a preliminary application. I have not submitted a preliminary application before in Candia so I just want to make sure I understand what the process is. But this is not a

final application. It's not the only public hearing. It's my understanding that the preliminary application is submitted with limited information and once the preliminary hearing is complete it's my understanding that the Board will give additional directions and information and if everything is all set, we will be asked to submit a final application. So the information that we submitted is not the same as a final subdivision plan because it's preliminary. I want to make sure I understand the process but if we're here tonight to do the preliminary review, I know there's going to be a lot of public comment if that's what the Board wants to take and I think they expected to. So we've got reports from the Town of Candia's consulting engineer, the Town of Chester's consulting engineer, the Road Agent, Police Department and Fire Department in Candia, have all commented. We have comments on our traffic report and subdivision from the SNHPC. Some of those we just got today so we know that if the process is that this moves forward and we're asked to submit final plans, we know there are considerable things that are in those five or six reports that we have to try to work out for when we come back to the Board again. I'll answer any questions or step back and let the Board conduct the meeting as they see fit.

R. Cartier said on October 20th I reviewed the plans for completeness under the preliminary major subdivision application review checklist and found several items that appear to need to be addressed. Some of them have been taken care of, I just noticed in the package some of them are here so I'll just go over the ones that I noticed we should probably be taking a look at;

1. Item 10.06b: of the checklist. My suggestion is to show Tanglewood Drive on the Candia side on sheet 3 of 8. It's not shown.
2. Item 10.06h: confirm that there are no streams, either steady or intermittent streams for the watershed area.
3. Item 10.06i: There will need to be deed restriction language for Lot 152 for the fire sprinkler system that's being proposed for fire protection in that particular lot.
4. Item 10.06k: show or note the fire protection type and/or facilities planned for the Chester side of the project so the Candia Volunteer Fire Department is aware of where they're going to be located.
5. Item 10.06l: The watershed areas don't appear to be complete.

My notes:

1. Lot 152 appears to have only 154' of frontage on Crowley Road which is required 200' of frontage in Candia. Also, reviewing the actions of the Planning Board back on March of 2005, Lot 52 was supposed to be marked as a not buildable lot under the original approval for the subdivision in Candia.
 - a. That should be looked at from a legal standpoint.
2. On that same subject there were several items in the official notice of action for the March 30, 2005 meeting including the upgrading of Crowley Road and providing securities sufficient to cover the cost of road improvements in a form satisfactory to the Town before the plat was signed.

So there are several legal issues that you may need to take a look at both from Town counsel and from the owner's legal counsel. Those are the only items I noticed at this time.

A. Hall stated we have multi-page comments from our engineer, Stantec.

B. Ruoff introduced himself, Bryan Ruoff from Stantec, the Town's engineer. Essentially from our review; our standpoint there are five key points that need to be addressed before we can complete a full comprehensive review of these plans. They're outlined in our memo. I'll just summarize.

1. There needs to be some kind of agreement or a letter from the Town of Chester on the deeding of the road right of way, whether existing Town of Candia property can be deeded to the Town of Chester for the purpose of the road right of way. That's a question for legal counsel.
2. An agreement on who long term will maintain this road. Will this road be accepted by the Town of Chester or will this road be maintained by the Town of Candia. This is an agreement that needs to be established between the Towns.

3. An agreement on who will be providing first responders for the subdivision. If the Town of Candia is expected to provide first responders, it's reasonable to believe that the subdivision should have fire protection measures that meet the Town of Candia's standards.
4. An agreement between the Towns on who will be providing school bus transportation for the subdivision where the access through Candia, will this be provided by Chester or does this need to be provided by Candia.
5. Probably the most important, what, if any upgrades are proposed as part of Crowley Road. Essentially right now Crowley Road is a rural road with 189 average daily vehicle trips per day. That meets AASHTO's standard for a design of a very low volume local road. The proposed subdivision of approximately 666 vehicles trips per day would put the daily number of trips per day over 400, which by AASHTO's standards would require full AASHTO compliance. By Town standard it would then be classified from a minor collector, which it is now, to an arterial road, which is the largest level standards of the road, which is 24 foot paved road with 6 foot shoulders and a 400 foot sight distance.

Prior to us being able to perform a full evaluation of this proposed development we would need all those items addressed.

E. Mitchell responded we've received the Stantec report and we understand the concerns that he *labeled (unintelligible)* here as well as other issues, and also with the information that was on the application, additional information such as streams or watershed areas and that. A lot of that information was submitted to Stantec in our drainage report and calculations. One thing I would just like to know is if we are here as a preliminary hearing, what did we need to present to you or is this a list of things of things when we submit our final plans, that we have to know ahead of time that need to be addressed or looked at. It's the procedure I sort of want to know where we are right now. Obviously with a preliminary, a lot of information may not have been there that would be for a final. And a lot of the information that Stantec reviewed is of a final nature, it's all important because the plan won't get approved unless a lot of that's addressed but we just want to know what, when we do, what.

A. Hall said a punch list will be provided to you. You may sit down. We have a note from the Road Agent.

C. Robie replied I think that's getting ahead of ourselves right now. We're trying to make a decision on his application. The application calls for a letter from the Road Agent, the Fire Chief and the Police. It doesn't necessarily call for a discussing them until we accept the application or don't accept the application. I'm probably a little confused in this process being a preliminary major subdivision application which Mr. Mitchell just referred to. This is a preliminary application. So there's a difference like he just explained to us and we may need to ask for some legal opinion on how far we go here before we do not or we do accept his application as completed as possible and that what Mr. Cartier has put together here, seems like a lot of things would be taken care of with the final set of plans.

A. Hall asked for legal counsel. C. Robie said I would like to hear from him please. A. Hall replied legal counsel has advised us we need to go into a brief non-public so the Board will adjourn to a classroom.

The Board adjourned for a non-public recess at approximately 7:25 pm. The Board returned at approximately 7:47 pm.

A. Hall thanked everyone for their patience. We have some question which Rudy is going to outline and then each of the Planning Board will give their thoughts.

R. Cartier said basically what I'd like to do is rehash what I mentioned before when I did my original presentation. We'll go on the ones that I had, probably 8 different notes. Some of them have been taken care of. The ones that are still open we can have a little bit of a discussion on.

1. The first one is under the Zoning Regulations (*Subdivision Regulations*) in Article X review the preliminary layout. The first one refers to Item 10.06b. *10.06b General site vicinity map*

showing the subdivision boundaries and proposed streets in relation to existing streets, highways, abutting parcels of land and other features shown on the town highway map. My comment was that you should show Tanglewood Drive on the Candia side on sheet 3 of 8 of your drawings.

2. The next item I had in here was Item *10.06h: Location of parks and other open spaces, watercourses, flood prone areas, foliage lines, stone walls that are existing and new boundaries, significant natural and manmade features.* There wasn't anything that I noticed that confirmed that there were not streams, either intermittent or steady streams on the property going through Candia. There appear to be culverts but I'm not sure when I looked at the map if they were streams or for drainage.

J. Bedard stated I don't know if it's understood that what we're doing right now is deciding if the application is complete. I just wanted to explain that that is what we're doing. Our purpose here is to figure out if this application is complete. To give you the reasons why it is or isn't and then for you to come back if it's not complete. That's what we're restarting here, I wasn't sure if that was clear. A. Sullivan verified for a preliminary application. J. Bedard agreed, yes. Our purpose here is to decide, based on the preliminary subdivision regulations. We're going to go through each one, I think 10 or 12 of them and decide and then you'll have kind of a checklist of what you need to do, if anything, for it to be complete. E. Mitchell said I understand.

R. Cartier continued.

3. The next item is *10.06i: Existing and proposed lot lines, existing and proposed easements, deed restrictions, deed covenants, building, accessory buildings and building set-back lines.* On the plans for Lot 152 you do have a note on the plans that the building to be built on that lot will be sprinklered. In order to make sure that that is done, we will need to have some deed restriction language to be added to that parcel so that it is clear that when and if there is a house built on there that it's sprinklered per your plans.

C. Robie replied I don't think that's part of the preliminary part though do you Rudy? Or was that for a final? That's not needed for a preliminary acceptance of this is it? The deed restrictions until the end? R. Cartier responded yes, we did this before on one of the other plans or applications that we've asked for before. It doesn't have to be in the deed at this point in time, the language would be provided to us that it's going to be incorporated into that deed once that deed is written.

4. Item *10.06k: Location of existing and proposed water mains, sanitary sewers, storm drainage lines, drainage structures and drainage ways, existing and proposed telephone, electricity, water, sewer, fire protection lines and other proposed facilities and/or utilities.* From what I saw in the plans there was nothing shown on the plans showing where the fire protection systems would be that would also be feeding lot 152. I know there will be fire protection plans for Chester, I don't know if they were developed yet but they weren't on the plan. So if you can show those on the plans for Candia and that would meet the regulations in here.

A. Sullivan replied so you're still talking about the preliminary? You want those on a preliminary plan? R. Cartier replied correct. C. Robie said my question to that is we just talked about lot 152 would be deeded with a deed restriction and a sprinkler system for the lot that's in Candia and the rest of the lots are in Chester, am I correct? R. Cartier agreed. C. Robie continued so does that have an effect on what we're looking for? R. Cartier replied no, not at this time based on what I saw from the Fire Chief's letter too. A. Hall asked is that the lot that was marked previously as non-buildable. R. Cartier replied no, that one we're going to hold off as it's not in our regulations. Oh, I know what you're talking about. C. Robie said so does he need to show that? R. Cartier replied yes. C. Robie replied he does. R. Cartier replied yes.

R. Cartier continued the next one is 10.06l.

5. *10.06l: Preliminary drainage analysis and computations: watershed areas.* The watershed area delineation didn't appear to be complete. I didn't know if there were other items that you have on the other

plans for Chester that showed any watershed but there wasn't a lot of watershed data shown on the plan that I reviewed.

E. Mitchell responded the plans that we submitted show the location of the culverts in that and we also submitted a drainage report and that has the watershed plans tucked in the back. So I think Stantec had those reviewed. We don't usually submit those in the plan set but they are part of the drainage report that we submitted. R. Cartier said I didn't see the drainage report but Andrea did tell me that the drainage report was provided so I can accept that as being part of the report that you gave to Stantec.

And the final question that came up was on the lot size or the frontage I should say, lot 152 appears only to have 154 feet of frontage on Crowley Road which is a Candia Road. Understood that it's an intersection with the new Tanglewood Drive but from our regulations, it's not showing that there's 200 feet of frontage on a Town of Candia Road. So that's an issue that would need to be evaluated. Those are the items that I noticed.

C. Robie said the Stantec comments, all that stuff will be addressed after the preliminary application's been accepted. E. Mitchell responded yes. A lot of it has but we will go through their review letter complete and meet with them, if they're willing to meet to show them what we got.

A. Hall said I would like to see the Road Agent's comments addressed in the preliminary application. You have a copy of that. E. Mitchell replied yes I do. C. Robie said just for a point of reference anything we've had Mr. Mitchell from the Road Agent, Fire Chief and Police Chief, if you could address that when you come back with some type of statement; that would probably be helpful. E. Mitchell agreed, yes.

R. Cartier asked (*B. Ruoff*) would you want to address some of the Stantec items that are in here specifically detailed in our preliminary application review because I know you have some concerns with whether the storm water plan and the wetlands area was not as complete as it should be. B. Ruoff replied I think you hit on from a checklist completeness standpoint, most of it. Regulation 10.06k, roadway plans and profiles for sections of roads in Candia are required to be provided with information regarding grades, radius, dimensions, horizontal alignments, vertical curves, etc. as specified. You mention fire protection. Additionally utilities would need to be shown on the plans as well as part of the checklist. Comment #7. I personally haven't seen, the only letter I've seen is from Dennis, the Road Agent. I don't know if a letter's been submitted from the Fire Chief or the Police Chief at this time, they probably have. C. Robie confirmed they are both here. B. Ruoff continued okay, my apologies. Typical road width sections should be added to the plans, it's in the checklist. Regulations section 10.06j. It's the same idea we have a lot of if this than comments. If the roadways going to be accepted and maintained by the Town of Chester then the roadways standards don't necessarily have to be the Town of Candia's. If the road is deeded to the Town of Chester so some of our comments deal with the standards of the road. As long as there is confirmation that the Town of Chester is going to accept the road and maintain the road in the future, as long it doesn't have detrimental impact on Crowley Road, there really isn't a need for a road maintained and deeded to the Town of Chester to meet Candia's standards. I don't know the difference between Town standards but if it's going to be maintained by the Town of Chester. From the five points I mentioned at the beginning, if this then that sort of comments. It's understood that first responders are going to be provided by the Town of Candia, it behooves the Town to have water supply, cisterns provided per the Town of Candia regulations. If those items are cleared up and clearly identified prior to the next meeting, it would be mutually beneficial to both towns.

C. Robie asked did you gentlemen get the list from Stantec earlier this week. E. Mitchell replied this morning we got it. C. Robie said so you haven't sat down with them at all. E. Mitchell replied no. We've read through it and we understand what they're asking for; like he said some of things are addressed one way and some of the other things in the list may not apply. But we do need to go down through it and if it's alright with the Board, meet with them and give a review letter of what we've done on this. We know that's necessary.

A. Hall commented we do have a representative from SNHPC, Sylvia von Aulock, did you want to make any comments or deficiencies you'd like to address. The Board disagreed, not tonight. A. Hall said not tonight sorry.

R. Cartier said there is one other item that was brought up and I think the Road Agent needs to provide us with a little bit of information on 10.06n. He has concerns about the safety and also the Police Chief has concerns too about the safety of Crowley Road. If they could bring up their comments I think that would help the Board to...I can read them if you want but...C. Robie said let them take their application back, do their discussions. D. Lewis asked Rudy do you want me to read my letter or just the comment you're referring to. C. Robie said no. R. Cartier replied if you're comments are in here, do you have copies of the both the Police Chief's concerns and the Road Agent's concerns? E. Mitchell replied yes we do. R. Cartier replied ok you're all set as long as that's in your possession.

The audience asked to hear the Road Agent's letter. C. Robie commented that's not how it works.

D. Lewis read his letter:

11/15/2017

To: Candia Planning Board

Re: Crowley Woods subdivision - Items to consider - from the Road Agent

1. *I would like to point out that the town of Candia NH subdivision regulations section 14.15 Classification of Streets indicates that 500+ cars per day is classified as an arterial street. This requires a 60 foot right-of-way with 24 feet of pavement and 6 feet of shoulders. Without ditch lines, this would require a minimum of 36 feet of width. The existing right-of-way on Crowley Road is only 33 feet. This alone indicates Crowley Road will be insufficient to support the traffic volumes projected from this development.*
2. *When traffic volumes increase, so does road maintenance with wear and tear on the pavement surfaces, increased winter maintenance, and public safety issues for both motorists and pedestrians. Also, we need to be mindful of how this increased traffic volume is dispersed throughout our community as to not adversely affect other roads and intersections.*
3. *At the intersection of Chester Road and Main Street, both state roads, there is a serious sight distance issue when exiting either of these roads. Meaning if you're coming out of Chester Road and taking a left onto Main Street, there's very little sight distance and if you're leaving Main Street to turn onto Chester Road cars coming over that hill, it's a very blind intersection. This should be looked at with the NHDOT.*
4. *Deed the new proposed subdivision roadways off of Crowley Road to the Town of Chester. This means the two short sections of Tanglewood Drive that exit Crowley Road into this subdivision, a couple 100 feet long. These would be deeded to Chester so we do not have to plow them, go into 200 - 300 feet, building a turnaround, plowing back out, we wouldn't be responsible for repaving every 10 years, the drainage, signage etc.*
5. *Make the 90 degree corner on Crowley Road a tee intersection with a two or three-way stop. I favored a 3 way stop, the developer's engineer favors that and our Town engineer favors that. The reason being is that if you drive that road in the wintertime, the 90 degree corner that's there, probably 6 to 10 times a winter, cars go straight off that corner. It's fine now; you hit the snow bank or the brush and get yourself out. Once this development's here, they'll be a car sitting right where everyone goes off the corner. Strictly for safety.*
6. *Repave Crowley Road at a minimum 1 inch shim and 1 1/2 inch overlay of pavement. That has never been done. Prior developers put in money to upgrade the road. It has a 2 inch layer of single course mix on it now. We had a problem with the center line pulling so there's a shim down the entire center of that road, that was a warranty item from the paving company but the whole road needs an overlay.*

7. *There is a sight distance issue when making a left hand turn onto Crowley Road from Lane Road that needs to be addressed.* If you were coming up Lane Road and want to make a left hand turn on the 80 degree corner on Lane Road, there's no sight distance. Cars come flying around the corner as you're trying to make the left hand turn. There are a few solutions there. The best solution is to cut all the trees there along the wall on the Lane Road, on the right hand side.

These are issues the Town of Candia will face as this project moves forward. I just wanted these to be considered by the Planning Board. That's my letter.

MOTION:

C. Robie **motioned** that the application is not complete and they will come back on December 6th or December 20th, whichever is most convenient for them, if they would like. R. Cartier **seconded**. A. Hall asked if the applicant had a preference. E. Mitchell responded if the 20th is okay we'll do that because I do not believe Chester meets on that night so if anyone wants to come to the meeting, they do meet on the 6th. So the 20th if that's okay. C. Robie reiterated Mr. Mitchell said he would like to **come back on December 20th. All were in favor. Motion carried (6-0-0).**

17-012 was continued to December 20th and will be at the Henry Moore School Gymnasium again.

MOTION:

C. Robie **motioned** to adjourn at approximately 8:18 pm. J. Lindsey **seconded. All were in favor. Motioned carried (6-0-0).**

Respectfully submitted,
Andrea Bickum
Land Use Secretary

cc file

Candia Planning Board Meeting
 Wednesday, November 15, 2017 - Town Hall 7 pm

Re: 17-012 Preliminary Major Subdivision-Crowley Road/Chester Subdivision

Attendee Sign-In Sheet

Name	Address (include City if not from Candia)	Please List if you are an Abutter, Resident or from Chester
Christine Depere	541 Raymond Rd	Candia
Judy Lewis		Resident
MATH BULL		Resident
Mark Hertz		Resident
Dennis Lewis	505 Main Rd	Resident Road Maint.
Ken Kustra	755 North Rd	Candia
Linda Maxwell	225 CRITCHETT RD	"
Phil Fowler	312 Chester Rd	Resident
BRYAN M. RUOFF	5 DORSETMOUTH DR 1241344	TOWNS ENGINEER
Judi Lindsey	822 North Rd	resident
Juhlenhake	145 Chester Rd	RESIDENT
Karen Reus	351 Chester Rd	Resident
Paul Reus	351 Chester rd	Resident
Sylvia von Aulock		SNHPC
Elizabeth A. Sanborn	312 Chester Rd.	Candia
Arthur H. Sanborn	" " "	"
Barbara Bowman	438 High St.	Candia
Janie Maxwell	287 MAIN	Candia
Charles F. Bowman	438 High St	Candia

Name	Address (include City if not from Candia)	Please List if you are an Abutter, Resident or from Chester
TOM D'IMAGGIO	42 NORTH RD	CANDIA
SANDY D'IMAGGIO	40 NORTH RD	CANDIA
DONNA DELRUSSO	40 CRITCHETT RD	Candia
Peter Reinertsen	224 Crowley	Candia
RON KAVORDEE	49 North Rd Candia	Candia
Bob + June Petrin	194 Crowley Rd	Candia Resident
Brenda Ferron	146 Crowley Rd	Resident
Dennis Orzechowski	55 Herb Mill Rd	Resident
Sarah Sargent	96 CRITCHETT RD	Candia Resident
Brian Sargent	96 Critchett Rd	Candia Resident
DEAN YOUNG	334 DEERFIELD RD.	CANDIA RESIDENT
Mary Plante	156 Crowley Rd.	Candia resident
Jerry Plante	" " "	" "
Leo & Ruthanna Russo	201 " "	" "
Jan Sargent	483 BROWN RD	Candia
Bob Sargent	483 BROWN RD	Candia
Russell Darn	1 Tower Hill	Candia
VINCENT ZULKOWSKI	275 BROWN RD.	Candia
JEFF + DEBBIE RATT	61 CROWLEY RD	CANDIA
Elizabeth Richter	Chester Planning B	Chester
Jason + Lisa Gustik	161 Crowley Road	Abutter
Scott Komisarek	38 Fieldstone LN	Resident
CARIA PENFIELD	74 JANE DR.	Candia

Name	Address (include City if not from Candia)	Please List if you are an Abutter, Resident or from Chester
KAYCEE VITALE	175 CRAWLEY RD	ABUTTER
TIM & DODIE D'ARCY	52 HORIZON LN	
Sean Clock	158 Chester Rd	Resident
William Fraser	480 BROWN	resident
Julie Fraser	480 Brown Rd	Resident
Dorothy Munson	382 Haverhill Rd Chester	
Johurt	187 Deerfield Rd.	
J Clark	187 Deerfield Rd	Resident
Carley Colotti	186 Crowley Rd	resident
Boyd Shivers	165 Depot Rd.	" "
Allyn Chivers	165 Depot Rd.	Resident
Ryan & Katy Balukas	151 Crowley Rd.	Resident
James Hobbs	195 Main st	Resident
Sandra Minnick	390 Brown Rd.	resident
Roger Minnick	390 Brown Rd.	Resident
Patty Mowry	387 Brown Rd	resident
Russ Mowry	387 Brown Rd	resident
Kim Walker	23 LaLiberte Lane	Resident
MAUREEN MOORE	185 CROWLEY Rd	ABUTTER
JDE MOORE	185 CROWLEY Rd	ABUTTER
PAUL HARRINGTON	179 PATTEN HILL RD	RESIDENT
Jeremy Gill	274 South Rd	Resident
Debi Deekers	78 Chester Rd	Resident

Name	Address (include City if not from Candia)	Please List if you are an Abutter, Resident or from Chester
Cindy Bradshen	4 Adams Rd	Resident
JUDITH SZOT	15 Libbee Rd	Resident
Jeff Walz	193 Crowley Rd	Resident
Eric Mitchell	Bedford	DAR - Surveyor
Nicholas Morcu	Manchester	DAR
Windi Kelley	Manchester	DAR
John	Litchfield	DAR
C. J. Reginald Moore	Litchfield	DAR
M. M. B.	Manchester	DAR
ROB JENSEN	109 PATTSWATER RD	RES
Ginny Jones	109 Patten Hill	Res.
Gerald Fenou	166 CROWLEY RD.	RESIDENT
Gail Taylor	109 Thresher Rd	Resident
CHARLIE WHEELER	225 MAIN ST	"
Stacey Tremblay	160 Crowley Rd.	Abutter
Darrel Tremblay	160 Crowley Rd	Abutter
Cindy Landau	1662 Candia Rd.	Chester - Abutter
John Varlas	130 Crowley Rd	RES
Rupi	Chester TPK	Res
Frank Albert	117 DIAMOND HILL	"

Name	Address (include City if not from Candia)	Please List if you are an Abutter, Resident or from Chester
John Corby	460 BROWN	NOT
Sonya Reed	159 Chester Rd	NO
Ros McInni	138 Palmer Rd	NO
Candice & Louis Stametakis	731 High St	NO
Saul Levesque	29 Crowley Rd	NO
GREG HERBERT	81 FIELDSTONE LN	NO
Ken Madden	166 Chester Rd	No